Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/966,281	CULP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Asad M. Nawaz	2155
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Asad M. Nawaz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>M. Paul Qualey, Jr. (Attorney Reg No 43024)</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: 18 October 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		•
Claims discussed: 1-5, 7-13, 20-22, 24-28		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
asadh Manon		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated interview to propose an amendment that would place the instant application in condition for allowance. More specifically, the examiner proposed to incorporate claim 28 into claim 7 and the subsequent cancellation of claim 28. The attorney agreed to such an amendment.